

NETMANAGEIT

Intelligence Report

GhostSec's joint ransomware operation and evolution of their arsenal



Table of contents

Overview

● Description	4
● Confidence	4
● Content	5

Entities

● Indicator	6
● Malware	9
● Intrusion-Set	10
● Attack-Pattern	11
● Country	19
● Region	20
● Sector	21

Observables

● Url	24
● StixFile	25
● IPv4-Addr	26
● Artifact	27

External References

● External References	28
-----------------------	----

Overview

Description

Cisco Talos observed a surge in GhostSec, a hacking group's malicious activities since this past year. GhostSec has evolved with a new GhostLocker 2.0 ransomware, a Golang variant of the GhostLocker ransomware. The GhostSec and Stormous ransomware groups are jointly conducting double extortion ransomware attacks on various business verticals in multiple countries. GhostLocker and Stormous ransomware have started a new ransomware-as-a-service (RaaS) program STMX_GhostLocker, providing various options for their affiliates.

Confidence

This value represents the confidence in the correctness of the data contained within this report.

100 / 100

Content

N/A

Indicator

Name

http://94.103.91.246/incrementLaunch

Pattern Type

stix

Pattern

[url:value = 'http://94.103.91.246/incrementLaunch']

Name

http://94.103.91.246/addInfection

Pattern Type

stix

Pattern

[url:value = 'http://94.103.91.246/addInfection']

Name

8fa28795e4cd95e6c78c4a1308ea80674102669f9980b2006599d82eff6237b3

Pattern Type

stix

Pattern

[file:hashes:'SHA-256' =
'8fa28795e4cd95e6c78c4a1308ea80674102669f9980b2006599d82eff6237b3']

Name

8b758ccdfbfa5ff3a0b67b2063c2397531cf0f7b3d278298da76528f443779e9

Pattern Type

stix

Pattern

[file:hashes:'SHA-256' =
'8b758ccdfbfa5ff3a0b67b2063c2397531cf0f7b3d278298da76528f443779e9']

Name

36760e9bbfaf5a28ec7f85d13c7e8078a4ee4e5168b672639e97037d66eb1d17

Pattern Type

stix

Pattern

[file:hashes:'SHA-256' =
'36760e9bbfaf5a28ec7f85d13c7e8078a4ee4e5168b672639e97037d66eb1d17']

Name

94.103.91.246

Pattern Type

stix

Pattern

[ipv4-addr:value = '94.103.91.246']

Name

a1b468e9550f9960c5e60f7c52ca3c058de19d42eafa760b9d5282eb24b7c55f

Description

Created by VirusTotal connector as the positive count was >= 10

Pattern Type

stix

Pattern

[file:hashes:'SHA-256' =
'a1b468e9550f9960c5e60f7c52ca3c058de19d42eafa760b9d5282eb24b7c55f']

Malware

Name
GhostLocker

Intrusion-Set

Name

GhostSec

Attack-Pattern

Name

T1490

ID

T1490

Description

Adversaries may delete or remove built-in data and turn off services designed to aid in the recovery of a corrupted system to prevent recovery.(Citation: Talos Olympic Destroyer 2018) (Citation: FireEye WannaCry 2017) This may deny access to available backups and recovery options. Operating systems may contain features that can help fix corrupted systems, such as a backup catalog, volume shadow copies, and automatic repair features. Adversaries may disable or delete system recovery features to augment the effects of [Data Destruction](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1485>) and [Data Encrypted for Impact](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1486>).(Citation: Talos Olympic Destroyer 2018) (Citation: FireEye WannaCry 2017) Furthermore, adversaries may disable recovery notifications, then corrupt backups.(Citation: disable_notif_synology_ransom) A number of native Windows utilities have been used by adversaries to disable or delete system recovery features: * `vssadmin.exe` can be used to delete all volume shadow copies on a system - `vssadmin.exe delete shadows /all /quiet` * [Windows Management Instrumentation](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1047>) can be used to delete volume shadow copies - `wmic shadowcopy delete` * `wbadmin.exe` can be used to delete the Windows Backup Catalog - `wbadmin.exe delete catalog -quiet` * `bcdedit.exe` can be used to disable automatic Windows recovery features by modifying boot configuration data - `bcdedit.exe /set {default} bootstatuspolicy ignoreallfailures & bcdedit /set {default} recoveryenabled no` * `REAgentC.exe` can be used to disable Windows Recovery Environment (WinRE) repair/recovery options of an infected system On network devices, adversaries may leverage [Disk Wipe](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1561>) to delete

backup firmware images and reformat the file system, then [System Shutdown/Reboot] (<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1529>) to reload the device. Together this activity may leave network devices completely inoperable and inhibit recovery operations. Adversaries may also delete “online” backups that are connected to their network – whether via network storage media or through folders that sync to cloud services.(Citation: ZDNet Ransomware Backups 2020) In cloud environments, adversaries may disable versioning and backup policies and delete snapshots, machine images, and prior versions of objects designed to be used in disaster recovery scenarios.(Citation: Dark Reading Code Spaces Cyber Attack)(Citation: Rhino Security Labs AWS S3 Ransomware)

Name

T1056

ID

T1056

Description

Adversaries may use methods of capturing user input to obtain credentials or collect information. During normal system usage, users often provide credentials to various different locations, such as login pages/portals or system dialog boxes. Input capture mechanisms may be transparent to the user (e.g. [Credential API Hooking](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1056/004>)) or rely on deceiving the user into providing input into what they believe to be a genuine service (e.g. [Web Portal Capture](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1056/003>)).

Name

T1486

ID

T1486

Description

Adversaries may encrypt data on target systems or on large numbers of systems in a network to interrupt availability to system and network resources. They can attempt to render stored data inaccessible by encrypting files or data on local and remote drives and withholding access to a decryption key. This may be done in order to extract monetary compensation from a victim in exchange for decryption or a decryption key (ransomware) or to render data permanently inaccessible in cases where the key is not saved or transmitted.(Citation: US-CERT Ransomware 2016)(Citation: FireEye WannaCry 2017)(Citation: US-CERT NotPetya 2017)(Citation: US-CERT SamSam 2018) In the case of ransomware, it is typical that common user files like Office documents, PDFs, images, videos, audio, text, and source code files will be encrypted (and often renamed and/or tagged with specific file markers). Adversaries may need to first employ other behaviors, such as [File and Directory Permissions Modification](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1222>) or [System Shutdown/Reboot](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1529>), in order to unlock and/or gain access to manipulate these files.(Citation: CarbonBlack Conti July 2020) In some cases, adversaries may encrypt critical system files, disk partitions, and the MBR.(Citation: US-CERT NotPetya 2017) To maximize impact on the target organization, malware designed for encrypting data may have worm-like features to propagate across a network by leveraging other attack techniques like [Valid Accounts](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1078>), [OS Credential Dumping](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1003>), and [SMB/Windows Admin Shares](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1021/002>).(Citation: FireEye WannaCry 2017)(Citation: US-CERT NotPetya 2017) Encryption malware may also leverage [Internal Defacement](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1491/001>), such as changing victim wallpapers, or otherwise intimidate victims by sending ransom notes or other messages to connected printers (known as "print bombing").(Citation: NHS Digital Egregor Nov 2020) In cloud environments, storage objects within compromised accounts may also be encrypted. (Citation: Rhino S3 Ransomware Part 1)

Name

T1083

ID

T1083

Description

Adversaries may enumerate files and directories or may search in specific locations of a host or network share for certain information within a file system. Adversaries may use the information from [File and Directory Discovery](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1083>)

during automated discovery to shape follow-on behaviors, including whether or not the adversary fully infects the target and/or attempts specific actions. Many command shell utilities can be used to obtain this information. Examples include ``dir``, ``tree``, ``ls``, ``find``, and ``locate``.(Citation: Windows Commands JPCERT) Custom tools may also be used to gather file and directory information and interact with the [Native API](https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1106). Adversaries may also leverage a [Network Device CLI](https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1059/008) on network devices to gather file and directory information (e.g. ``dir``, ``show flash``, and/or ``nvram``). (Citation: US-CERT-TA18-106A)

Name

T1070

ID

T1070

Description

Adversaries may delete or modify artifacts generated within systems to remove evidence of their presence or hinder defenses. Various artifacts may be created by an adversary or something that can be attributed to an adversary's actions. Typically these artifacts are used as defensive indicators related to monitored events, such as strings from downloaded files, logs that are generated from user actions, and other data analyzed by defenders. Location, format, and type of artifact (such as command or login history) are often specific to each platform. Removal of these indicators may interfere with event collection, reporting, or other processes used to detect intrusion activity. This may compromise the integrity of security solutions by causing notable events to go unreported. This activity may also impede forensic analysis and incident response, due to lack of sufficient data to determine what occurred.

Name

Obfuscated Files or Information

ID

T1027

Description

Adversaries may attempt to make an executable or file difficult to discover or analyze by encrypting, encoding, or otherwise obfuscating its contents on the system or in transit. This is common behavior that can be used across different platforms and the network to evade defenses. Payloads may be compressed, archived, or encrypted in order to avoid detection. These payloads may be used during Initial Access or later to mitigate detection. Sometimes a user's action may be required to open and [Deobfuscate/Decode Files or Information](https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1140) for [User Execution](https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1204). The user may also be required to input a password to open a password protected compressed/encrypted file that was provided by the adversary. (Citation: Volexity PowerDuke November 2016) Adversaries may also use compressed or archived scripts, such as JavaScript. Portions of files can also be encoded to hide the plain-text strings that would otherwise help defenders with discovery. (Citation: Linux/Cdorked.A We Live Security Analysis) Payloads may also be split into separate, seemingly benign files that only reveal malicious functionality when reassembled. (Citation: Carbon Black Obfuscation Sept 2016) Adversaries may also abuse [Command Obfuscation](https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1027/010) to obscure commands executed from payloads or directly via [Command and Scripting Interpreter](https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1059). Environment variables, aliases, characters, and other platform/language specific semantics can be used to evade signature based detections and application control mechanisms. (Citation: FireEye Obfuscation June 2017) (Citation: FireEye Revoke-Obfuscation July 2017)(Citation: PaloAlto EncodedCommand March 2017)

Name

Phishing

ID

T1566

Description

Adversaries may send phishing messages to gain access to victim systems. All forms of phishing are electronically delivered social engineering. Phishing can be targeted, known as spearphishing. In spearphishing, a specific individual, company, or industry will be targeted by the adversary. More generally, adversaries can conduct non-targeted phishing, such as in mass malware spam campaigns. Adversaries may send victims emails containing malicious attachments or links, typically to execute malicious code on victim

systems. Phishing may also be conducted via third-party services, like social media platforms. Phishing may also involve social engineering techniques, such as posing as a trusted source, as well as evasive techniques such as removing or manipulating emails or metadata/headers from compromised accounts being abused to send messages (e.g., [Email Hiding Rules](https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1564/008)).(Citation: Microsoft OAuth Spam 2022)(Citation: Palo Alto Unit 42 VBA Infostealer 2014) Another way to accomplish this is by forging or spoofing(Citation: Proofpoint-spoof) the identity of the sender which can be used to fool both the human recipient as well as automated security tools.(Citation: cyberproof-double-bounce) Victims may also receive phishing messages that instruct them to call a phone number where they are directed to visit a malicious URL, download malware,(Citation: sygnia Luna Month)(Citation: CISA Remote Monitoring and Management Software) or install adversary-accessible remote management tools onto their computer (i.e., [User Execution](https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1204)).(Citation: Unit42 Luna Moth)

Name

T1112

ID

T1112

Description

Adversaries may interact with the Windows Registry to hide configuration information within Registry keys, remove information as part of cleaning up, or as part of other techniques to aid in persistence and execution. Access to specific areas of the Registry depends on account permissions, some requiring administrator-level access. The built-in Windows command-line utility [Reg](https://attack.mitre.org/software/S0075) may be used for local or remote Registry modification. (Citation: Microsoft Reg) Other tools may also be used, such as a remote access tool, which may contain functionality to interact with the Registry through the Windows API. Registry modifications may also include actions to hide keys, such as prepending key names with a null character, which will cause an error and/or be ignored when read via [Reg](https://attack.mitre.org/software/S0075) or other utilities using the Win32 API. (Citation: Microsoft Reghide NOV 2006) Adversaries may abuse these pseudo-hidden keys to conceal payloads/commands used to maintain persistence. (Citation: TrendMicro POWELIKS AUG 2014) (Citation: SpectorOps Hiding Reg Jul 2017) The Registry of a remote system may be modified to aid in execution of files as part of lateral movement. It requires the remote Registry service to be running on the target system. (Citation: Microsoft Remote) Often [Valid Accounts](https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/

T1078) are required, along with access to the remote system's [SMB/Windows Admin Shares](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1021/002>) for RPC communication.

Name

T1055

ID

T1055

Description

Adversaries may inject code into processes in order to evade process-based defenses as well as possibly elevate privileges. Process injection is a method of executing arbitrary code in the address space of a separate live process. Running code in the context of another process may allow access to the process's memory, system/network resources, and possibly elevated privileges. Execution via process injection may also evade detection from security products since the execution is masked under a legitimate process. There are many different ways to inject code into a process, many of which abuse legitimate functionalities. These implementations exist for every major OS but are typically platform specific. More sophisticated samples may perform multiple process injections to segment modules and further evade detection, utilizing named pipes or other inter-process communication (IPC) mechanisms as a communication channel.

Name

T1036

ID

T1036

Description

Adversaries may attempt to manipulate features of their artifacts to make them appear legitimate or benign to users and/or security tools. Masquerading occurs when the name or location of an object, legitimate or malicious, is manipulated or abused for the sake of

evading defenses and observation. This may include manipulating file metadata, tricking users into misidentifying the file type, and giving legitimate task or service names. Renaming abusible system utilities to evade security monitoring is also a form of [Masquerading](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1036>). (Citation: LOLBAS Main Site) Masquerading may also include the use of [Proxy](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1090>) or VPNs to disguise IP addresses, which can allow adversaries to blend in with normal network traffic and bypass conditional access policies or anti-abuse protections.

Country

Name

Israel

Region

Name

Middle East

Name

Asia

Sector

Name

Telecommunications

Description

Private and public entities involved in the production, transport and dissemination of information and communication signals.

Name

Manufacturing

Description

Private entities transforming and selling goods, products and equipment which are not included in other activity sectors.

Name

Technologies

Description

Private entities related to the research, development, manufacturing and distribution of electronics, softwares, computers and products related to information technologies.

Name

Government and administrations

Description

Civilian government institutions and administrations of the executive and legislative branches. The diplomatic and judicial branches are not included.

Name

Transport

Description

All entities involved in the movement of people or goods from one place to another.

Name

Energy

Description

Public and private entities operating to extract, store, transport and process fuel, entities managing energy plants and energy storage and distribution and entities managing fuel waste.

Name

Education

Description

Public or private entities operating to facilitate learning and acquiring knowledge and skills, composed of infrastructures and services to host teachers, students, and administrative services related to this activity. This does not include research activities.

Url

Value

<http://94.103.91.246/incrementLaunch>

<http://94.103.91.246/addInfection>

StixFile

Value

8fa28795e4cd95e6c78c4a1308ea80674102669f9980b2006599d82eff6237b3

8b758ccdfbfa5ff3a0b67b2063c2397531cf0f7b3d278298da76528f443779e9

36760e9bbfaf5a28ec7f85d13c7e8078a4ee4e5168b672639e97037d66eb1d17

a1b468e9550f9960c5e60f7c52ca3c058de19d42eafa760b9d5282eb24b7c55f

IPv4-Addr

Value

94.103.91.246

Artifact

Value

2148b2d2aa3c5d70cb975a31b3026fa618b633122f1b953e4a0efe5c03e86058f63161fad0fb4f5db
ebcf3f9634b5fd89b98200c6158c125b03abf42d6c04d26

External References

-
- <https://github.com/Cisco-Talos/IOCs/blob/main/2024/03/GhostSec-GhostLocker2-ransomware.txt>
-
- <https://blog.talosintelligence.com/ghostsec-ghostlocker2-ransomware/>
-
- <https://otx.alienvault.com/pulse/65e74445e37ed2815baec284>