



# Table of contents

---

## Overview

---

● Description	4
● Confidence	4
● Content	5

---

## Entities

---

● Indicator	6
● Intrusion-Set	13
● Malware	14
● Attack-Pattern	15
● Sector	32

---

## Observables

---

● IPv4-Addr	33
● StixFile	34



## External References

- External References

35

# Overview

## Description

Phobos ransomware is a ransomware-as-a-service operation that has targeted municipal governments, emergency services, education institutions, healthcare organizations, and other critical infrastructure entities since 2019. The ransomware is operated by multiple affiliates and delivered through phishing campaigns, exploitation of vulnerable RDP services, and trojan malware. Phobos encrypts files on compromised systems and demands ransom payments in bitcoin from victims in order to receive a decryptor.

## Confidence

*This value represents the confidence in the correctness of the data contained within this report.*

100 / 100

# Content

N/A

# Indicator

**Name**

c0539fd02ca0184925a932a9e926c681dc9c81b5de4624250f2dd885ca5c4763

**Description**

SHA256 of 93b0d892bd3fbb7d3d9efb69ffdc060159d4536

**Pattern Type**

stix

**Pattern**

```
[file:hashes:'SHA-256' =  
'c0539fd02ca0184925a932a9e926c681dc9c81b5de4624250f2dd885ca5c4763']
```

**Name**

9215550ce3b164972413a329ab697012e909d543e8ac05d9901095016dd3fc6c

**Description**

SHA256 of 18ebb65842ccd3a1d1eeb597f2017267d47daaf9

**Pattern Type**

stix

**Pattern**

[file:hashes:'SHA-256' = '9215550ce3b164972413a329ab697012e909d543e8ac05d9901095016dd3fc6c']

**Name**

482754d66d01aa3579f007c2b3c3d0591865eb60ba60b9c28c66fe6f4ac53c52

**Pattern Type**

stix

**Pattern**

[file:hashes:'SHA-256' = '482754d66d01aa3579f007c2b3c3d0591865eb60ba60b9c28c66fe6f4ac53c52']

**Name**

147.78.47.224

**Description**

CC=NL ASN=AS209588 Flyservers S.A.

**Pattern Type**

stix

**Pattern**

[ipv4-addr:value = '147.78.47.224']

**Name**

0000599cbc6e5b0633c5a6261c79e4d3d81005c77845c6b0679d854884a8e02f

**Pattern Type**

stix

**Pattern**

[file:hashes!'SHA-256' =  
'0000599cbc6e5b0633c5a6261c79e4d3d81005c77845c6b0679d854884a8e02f']

**Name**

f1425cff3d28afe5245459afa6d7985081bc6a62f86dce64c63daeb2136d7d2c

**Description**

SHA256 of 33def89ad18a6c3dbaa4b5b5075a84a771157441

**Pattern Type**

stix

**Pattern**

[file:hashes!'SHA-256' =  
'f1425cff3d28afe5245459afa6d7985081bc6a62f86dce64c63daeb2136d7d2c']

**Name**

7451be9b65b956ee667081e1141531514b1ec348e7081b5a9cd1308a98eec8f0

**Description**



Unix.Trojan.Mirai-7100807-0 SHA256 of 90b2cebbbeb377480e321d8f38ea6de2fa661e437

**Pattern Type**

stix

**Pattern**

[file:hashes!'SHA-256' =  
'7451be9b65b956ee667081e1141531514b1ec348e7081b5a9cd1308a98eec8f0']

**Name**

32a674b59c3f9a45efde48368b4de7e0e76c19e06b2f18afb6638d1a080b2eb3

**Pattern Type**

stix

**Pattern**

[file:hashes!'SHA-256' =  
'32a674b59c3f9a45efde48368b4de7e0e76c19e06b2f18afb6638d1a080b2eb3']

**Name**

518544e56e8ccee401ffa1b0a01a10ce23e49ec21ec441c6c7c3951b01c1b19c

**Description**

Win.Packer.pkr\_ce1a-9980177-0 SHA256 of 4a8f0331abaf8f629b3c8220f0d55339cfa30223

**Pattern Type**

stix

**Pattern**

[file:hashes!'SHA-256' =  
'518544e56e8ccee401ffa1b0a01a10ce23e49ec21ec441c6c7c3951b01c1b19c']

**Name**

a91491f45b851a07f91ba5a200967921bf796d38677786de51a4a8fe5ddeafd2

**Description**

Win.Malware.Phobos-6981135-0 SHA256 of c88fad293256bfead6962124394de4f8b97765aa

**Pattern Type**

stix

**Pattern**

[file:hashes!'SHA-256' =  
'a91491f45b851a07f91ba5a200967921bf796d38677786de51a4a8fe5ddeafd2']

**Name**

58626a9bfb48cd30acd0d95debcaefd188ae794e1e0072c5bde8adae9bccafa6

**Description**

Ransom:Win32/Phobos.PC!MTB SHA256 of cb37b10b209ab38477d2e17f21cae12a1cb2adf0

**Pattern Type**

stix

**Pattern**

[file:hashes!'SHA-256' =  
'58626a9bfb48cd30acd0d95debcaefd188ae794e1e0072c5bde8adae9bccafa6']

**Name**

f3be35f8b8301e39dd3dffc9325553516a085c12dc15494a5e2fce73c77069ed

**Description**

Ransom:Win32/Phobos.PC!MTB SHA256 of 43683751209e85571072d953c0bdd44c883045ee

**Pattern Type**

stix

**Pattern**

[file:hashes!'SHA-256' =  
'f3be35f8b8301e39dd3dffc9325553516a085c12dc15494a5e2fce73c77069ed']

**Name**

fc4b14250db7f66107820ecc56026e6be3e8e0eb2d428719156cf1c53ae139c6

**Description**

Trojan:Win32/Phoenix SHA256 of b092a6bf7fb6755e095ed9f35147d1c6710cf2c4

**Pattern Type**

stix

**Pattern**

[file:hashes!'SHA-256' =  
'fc4b14250db7f66107820ecc56026e6be3e8e0eb2d428719156cf1c53ae139c6']

**Name**

2704e269fb5cf9a02070a0ea07d82dc9d87f2cb95e60cb71d6c6d38b01869f66

**Description**

Win.Ransomware.Ulise-7594403-0 SHA256 of aed68cfa282ec2b0f8a681153beaebe3a17d04ee

**Pattern Type**

stix

**Pattern**

[file:hashes!'SHA-256' =  
'2704e269fb5cf9a02070a0ea07d82dc9d87f2cb95e60cb71d6c6d38b01869f66']

# Intrusion-Set

## Name

Phobos

# Malware

**Name**

remote access

**Name**

Phobos

**Name**

SmokeLoader

**Name**

Cobalt Strike

**Description**

[Cobalt Strike](<https://attack.mitre.org/software/S0154>) is a commercial, full-featured, remote access tool that bills itself as “adversary simulation software designed to execute targeted attacks and emulate the post-exploitation actions of advanced threat actors”. Cobalt Strike’s interactive post-exploit capabilities cover the full range of ATT&CK tactics, all executed within a single, integrated system.(Citation: cobaltstrike manual) In addition to its own capabilities, [Cobalt Strike](<https://attack.mitre.org/software/S0154>) leverages the capabilities of other well-known tools such as Metasploit and [Mimikatz](<https://attack.mitre.org/software/S0002>). (Citation: cobaltstrike manual)

# Attack-Pattern

**Name**

TA0004

**ID**

TA0004

**Name**

TA0010

**ID**

TA0010

**Name**

T1134

**ID**

T1134

**Description**

Adversaries may modify access tokens to operate under a different user or system security context to perform actions and bypass access controls. Windows uses access tokens to

determine the ownership of a running process. A user can manipulate access tokens to make a running process appear as though it is the child of a different process or belongs to someone other than the user that started the process. When this occurs, the process also takes on the security context associated with the new token. An adversary can use built-in Windows API functions to copy access tokens from existing processes; this is known as token stealing. These token can then be applied to an existing process (i.e. [Token Impersonation/Theft](https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1134/001)) or used to spawn a new process (i.e. [Create Process with Token](https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1134/002)). An adversary must already be in a privileged user context (i.e. administrator) to steal a token. However, adversaries commonly use token stealing to elevate their security context from the administrator level to the SYSTEM level. An adversary can then use a token to authenticate to a remote system as the account for that token if the account has appropriate permissions on the remote system.(Citation: Pentestlab Token Manipulation) Any standard user can use the ``runas`` command, and the Windows API functions, to create impersonation tokens; it does not require access to an administrator account. There are also other mechanisms, such as Active Directory fields, that can be used to modify access tokens.

**Name**

T1598

**ID**

T1598

**Description**

Adversaries may send phishing messages to elicit sensitive information that can be used during targeting. Phishing for information is an attempt to trick targets into divulging information, frequently credentials or other actionable information. Phishing for information is different from [Phishing](https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1566) in that the objective is gathering data from the victim rather than executing malicious code. All forms of phishing are electronically delivered social engineering. Phishing can be targeted, known as spearphishing. In spearphishing, a specific individual, company, or industry will be targeted by the adversary. More generally, adversaries can conduct non-targeted phishing, such as in mass credential harvesting campaigns. Adversaries may also try to obtain information directly through the exchange of emails, instant messages, or other electronic conversation means.(Citation: ThreatPost Social Media Phishing)(Citation: TrendMicro Phishing)(Citation: PCMag FakeLogin)(Citation: Sophos Attachment)(Citation: GitHub Phishery) Victims may also receive phishing messages that direct them to call a



phone number where the adversary attempts to collect confidential information.(Citation: Avertium callback phishing) Phishing for information frequently involves social engineering techniques, such as posing as a source with a reason to collect information (ex: [Establish Accounts](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1585>) or [Compromise Accounts](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1586>)) and/or sending multiple, seemingly urgent messages. Another way to accomplish this is by forging or spoofing(Citation: Proofpoint-spoof) the identity of the sender which can be used to fool both the human recipient as well as automated security tools.(Citation: cyberproof-double-bounce) Phishing for information may also involve evasive techniques, such as removing or manipulating emails or metadata/headers from compromised accounts being abused to send messages (e.g., [Email Hiding Rules](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1564/008>)). (Citation: Microsoft OAuth Spam 2022)(Citation: Palo Alto Unit 42 VBA Infostealer 2014)

**Name**

T1486

**ID**

T1486

**Description**

Adversaries may encrypt data on target systems or on large numbers of systems in a network to interrupt availability to system and network resources. They can attempt to render stored data inaccessible by encrypting files or data on local and remote drives and withholding access to a decryption key. This may be done in order to extract monetary compensation from a victim in exchange for decryption or a decryption key (ransomware) or to render data permanently inaccessible in cases where the key is not saved or transmitted.(Citation: US-CERT Ransomware 2016)(Citation: FireEye WannaCry 2017)(Citation: US-CERT NotPetya 2017)(Citation: US-CERT SamSam 2018) In the case of ransomware, it is typical that common user files like Office documents, PDFs, images, videos, audio, text, and source code files will be encrypted (and often renamed and/or tagged with specific file markers). Adversaries may need to first employ other behaviors, such as [File and Directory Permissions Modification](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1222>) or [System Shutdown/Reboot](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1529>), in order to unlock and/or gain access to manipulate these files.(Citation: CarbonBlack Conti July 2020) In some cases, adversaries may encrypt critical system files, disk partitions, and the MBR.(Citation: US-CERT NotPetya 2017) To maximize impact on the target organization, malware designed for encrypting data may have worm-like features to propagate across a network by leveraging other attack techniques like [Valid Accounts](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1078>),

[OS Credential Dumping](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1003>), and [SMB/Windows Admin Shares](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1021/002>). (Citation: FireEye WannaCry 2017) (Citation: US-CERT NotPetya 2017) Encryption malware may also leverage [Internal Defacement](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1491/001>), such as changing victim wallpapers, or otherwise intimidate victims by sending ransom notes or other messages to connected printers (known as "print bombing"). (Citation: NHS Digital Egregor Nov 2020) In cloud environments, storage objects within compromised accounts may also be encrypted. (Citation: Rhino S3 Ransomware Part 1)

**Name**

T1078

**ID**

T1078

**Description**

Adversaries may obtain and abuse credentials of existing accounts as a means of gaining Initial Access, Persistence, Privilege Escalation, or Defense Evasion. Compromised credentials may be used to bypass access controls placed on various resources on systems within the network and may even be used for persistent access to remote systems and externally available services, such as VPNs, Outlook Web Access, network devices, and remote desktop. (Citation: volexity\_0day\_sophos\_FW) Compromised credentials may also grant an adversary increased privilege to specific systems or access to restricted areas of the network. Adversaries may choose not to use malware or tools in conjunction with the legitimate access those credentials provide to make it harder to detect their presence. In some cases, adversaries may abuse inactive accounts: for example, those belonging to individuals who are no longer part of an organization. Using these accounts may allow the adversary to evade detection, as the original account user will not be present to identify any anomalous activity taking place on their account. (Citation: CISA MFA PrintNightmare) The overlap of permissions for local, domain, and cloud accounts across a network of systems is of concern because the adversary may be able to pivot across accounts and systems to reach a high level of access (i.e., domain or enterprise administrator) to bypass access controls set within the enterprise. (Citation: TechNet Credential Theft)

**Name**

T1110

**ID**

T1110

**Description**

Adversaries may use brute force techniques to gain access to accounts when passwords are unknown or when password hashes are obtained. Without knowledge of the password for an account or set of accounts, an adversary may systematically guess the password using a repetitive or iterative mechanism. Brute forcing passwords can take place via interaction with a service that will check the validity of those credentials or offline against previously acquired credential data, such as password hashes. Brute forcing credentials may take place at various points during a breach. For example, adversaries may attempt to brute force access to [Valid Accounts](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1078>) within a victim environment leveraging knowledge gathered from other post-compromise behaviors such as [OS Credential Dumping](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1003>), [Account Discovery](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1087>), or [Password Policy Discovery](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1201>). Adversaries may also combine brute forcing activity with behaviors such as [External Remote Services](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1133>) as part of Initial Access.

**Name**

T1048

**ID**

T1048

**Description**

Adversaries may steal data by exfiltrating it over a different protocol than that of the existing command and control channel. The data may also be sent to an alternate network location from the main command and control server. Alternate protocols include FTP, SMTP, HTTP/S, DNS, SMB, or any other network protocol not being used as the main command and control channel. Adversaries may also opt to encrypt and/or obfuscate

these alternate channels. [Exfiltration Over Alternative Protocol](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1048>) can be done using various common operating system utilities such as [Net](<https://attack.mitre.org/software/S0039>)/SMB or FTP.(Citation: Palo Alto OilRig Oct 2016) On macOS and Linux `curl` may be used to invoke protocols such as HTTP/S or FTP/S to exfiltrate data from a system.(Citation: 20 macOS Common Tools and Techniques) Many IaaS and SaaS platforms (such as Microsoft Exchange, Microsoft SharePoint, GitHub, and AWS S3) support the direct download of files, emails, source code, and other sensitive information via the web console or [Cloud API](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1059/009>).

**Name**

T1083

**ID**

T1083

**Description**

Adversaries may enumerate files and directories or may search in specific locations of a host or network share for certain information within a file system. Adversaries may use the information from [File and Directory Discovery](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1083>) during automated discovery to shape follow-on behaviors, including whether or not the adversary fully infects the target and/or attempts specific actions. Many command shell utilities can be used to obtain this information. Examples include `dir`, `tree`, `ls`, `find`, and `locate`.(Citation: Windows Commands JPCERT) Custom tools may also be used to gather file and directory information and interact with the [Native API](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1106>). Adversaries may also leverage a [Network Device CLI](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1059/008>) on network devices to gather file and directory information (e.g. `dir`, `show flash`, and/or `nvram`).(Citation: US-CERT-TA18-106A)

**Name**

T1087

**ID**

T1087

**Description**

Adversaries may attempt to get a listing of valid accounts, usernames, or email addresses on a system or within a compromised environment. This information can help adversaries determine which accounts exist, which can aid in follow-on behavior such as brute-forcing, spear-phishing attacks, or account takeovers (e.g., [Valid Accounts](https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1078)). Adversaries may use several methods to enumerate accounts, including abuse of existing tools, built-in commands, and potential misconfigurations that leak account names and roles or permissions in the targeted environment. For examples, cloud environments typically provide easily accessible interfaces to obtain user lists. On hosts, adversaries can use default [PowerShell](https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1059/001) and other command line functionality to identify accounts. Information about email addresses and accounts may also be extracted by searching an infected system's files.

**Name**

Obfuscated Files or Information

**ID**

T1027

**Description**

Adversaries may attempt to make an executable or file difficult to discover or analyze by encrypting, encoding, or otherwise obfuscating its contents on the system or in transit. This is common behavior that can be used across different platforms and the network to evade defenses. Payloads may be compressed, archived, or encrypted in order to avoid detection. These payloads may be used during Initial Access or later to mitigate detection. Sometimes a user's action may be required to open and [Deobfuscate/Decode Files or Information](https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1140) for [User Execution](https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1204). The user may also be required to input a password to open a password protected compressed/encrypted file that was provided by the adversary. (Citation: Volexity PowerDuke November 2016) Adversaries may also use compressed or archived scripts, such as JavaScript. Portions of files can also be encoded to hide the plain-text strings that would otherwise help defenders with discovery. (Citation: Linux/

Cdorked.A We Live Security Analysis) Payloads may also be split into separate, seemingly benign files that only reveal malicious functionality when reassembled. (Citation: Carbon Black Obfuscation Sept 2016) Adversaries may also abuse [Command Obfuscation](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1027/010>) to obscure commands executed from payloads or directly via [Command and Scripting Interpreter](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1059>). Environment variables, aliases, characters, and other platform/language specific semantics can be used to evade signature based detections and application control mechanisms. (Citation: FireEye Obfuscation June 2017) (Citation: FireEye Revoke-Obfuscation July 2017)(Citation: PaloAlto EncodedCommand March 2017)

**Name**

T1595

**ID**

T1595

**Description**

Adversaries may execute active reconnaissance scans to gather information that can be used during targeting. Active scans are those where the adversary probes victim infrastructure via network traffic, as opposed to other forms of reconnaissance that do not involve direct interaction. Adversaries may perform different forms of active scanning depending on what information they seek to gather. These scans can also be performed in various ways, including using native features of network protocols such as ICMP.(Citation: Botnet Scan)(Citation: OWASP Fingerprinting) Information from these scans may reveal opportunities for other forms of reconnaissance (ex: [Search Open Websites/Domains](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1593>) or [Search Open Technical Databases](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1596>)), establishing operational resources (ex: [Develop Capabilities](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1587>) or [Obtain Capabilities](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1588>)), and/or initial access (ex: [External Remote Services](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1133>) or [Exploit Public-Facing Application](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1190>)).

**Name**

Phishing

**ID**

T1566

**Description**

Adversaries may send phishing messages to gain access to victim systems. All forms of phishing are electronically delivered social engineering. Phishing can be targeted, known as spearphishing. In spearphishing, a specific individual, company, or industry will be targeted by the adversary. More generally, adversaries can conduct non-targeted phishing, such as in mass malware spam campaigns. Adversaries may send victims emails containing malicious attachments or links, typically to execute malicious code on victim systems. Phishing may also be conducted via third-party services, like social media platforms. Phishing may also involve social engineering techniques, such as posing as a trusted source, as well as evasive techniques such as removing or manipulating emails or metadata/headers from compromised accounts being abused to send messages (e.g., [Email Hiding Rules](https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1564/008)).(Citation: Microsoft OAuth Spam 2022)(Citation: Palo Alto Unit 42 VBA Infostealer 2014) Another way to accomplish this is by forging or spoofing(Citation: Proofpoint-spoof) the identity of the sender which can be used to fool both the human recipient as well as automated security tools.(Citation: cyberproof-double-bounce) Victims may also receive phishing messages that instruct them to call a phone number where they are directed to visit a malicious URL, download malware,(Citation: sygnia Luna Month)(Citation: CISA Remote Monitoring and Management Software) or install adversary-accessible remote management tools onto their computer (i.e., [User Execution](https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1204)).(Citation: Unit42 Luna Moth)

**Name**

Ingress Tool Transfer

**ID**

T1105

**Description**

Adversaries may transfer tools or other files from an external system into a compromised environment. Tools or files may be copied from an external adversary-controlled system to

the victim network through the command and control channel or through alternate protocols such as [ftp](https://attack.mitre.org/software/S0095). Once present, adversaries may also transfer/spread tools between victim devices within a compromised environment (i.e. [Lateral Tool Transfer](https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1570)). On Windows, adversaries may use various utilities to download tools, such as `copy`, `finger`, [certutil](https://attack.mitre.org/software/S0160), and [PowerShell](https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1059/001) commands such as `EX(New-Object Net.WebClient).downloadString()` and `Invoke-WebRequest`. On Linux and macOS systems, a variety of utilities also exist, such as `curl`, `scp`, `sftp`, `tftp`, `rsync`, `finger`, and `wget`. (Citation: t1105\_lolbas) Adversaries may also abuse installers and package managers, such as `yum` or `winget`, to download tools to victim hosts. Files can also be transferred using various [Web Service](https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1102)s as well as native or otherwise present tools on the victim system.(Citation: PTSecurity Cobalt Dec 2016) In some cases, adversaries may be able to leverage services that sync between a web-based and an on-premises client, such as Dropbox or OneDrive, to transfer files onto victim systems. For example, by compromising a cloud account and logging into the service's web portal, an adversary may be able to trigger an automatic syncing process that transfers the file onto the victim's machine.(Citation: Dropbox Malware Sync)

**Name**

T1560

**ID**

T1560

**Description**

An adversary may compress and/or encrypt data that is collected prior to exfiltration. Compressing the data can help to obfuscate the collected data and minimize the amount of data sent over the network. Encryption can be used to hide information that is being exfiltrated from detection or make exfiltration less conspicuous upon inspection by a defender. Both compression and encryption are done prior to exfiltration, and can be performed using a utility, 3rd party library, or custom method.

**Name**

T1218



**ID**

T1218

**Description**

Adversaries may bypass process and/or signature-based defenses by proxying execution of malicious content with signed, or otherwise trusted, binaries. Binaries used in this technique are often Microsoft-signed files, indicating that they have been either downloaded from Microsoft or are already native in the operating system.(Citation: LOLBAS Project) Binaries signed with trusted digital certificates can typically execute on Windows systems protected by digital signature validation. Several Microsoft signed binaries that are default on Windows installations can be used to proxy execution of other files or commands. Similarly, on Linux systems adversaries may abuse trusted binaries such as `split`` to proxy execution of malicious commands.(Citation: split man page)(Citation: GTFO split)

**Name**

T1055

**ID**

T1055

**Description**

Adversaries may inject code into processes in order to evade process-based defenses as well as possibly elevate privileges. Process injection is a method of executing arbitrary code in the address space of a separate live process. Running code in the context of another process may allow access to the process's memory, system/network resources, and possibly elevated privileges. Execution via process injection may also evade detection from security products since the execution is masked under a legitimate process. There are many different ways to inject code into a process, many of which abuse legitimate functionalities. These implementations exist for every major OS but are typically platform specific. More sophisticated samples may perform multiple process injections to segment modules and further evade detection, utilizing named pipes or other inter-process communication (IPC) mechanisms as a communication channel.

**Name**

T1219

**ID**

T1219

**Description**

An adversary may use legitimate desktop support and remote access software to establish an interactive command and control channel to target systems within networks. These services, such as `VNC`, `Team Viewer`, `AnyDesk`, `ScreenConnect`, `LogMein`, `AmmyAdmin`, and other remote monitoring and management (RMM) tools, are commonly used as legitimate technical support software and may be allowed by application control within a target environment.(Citation: Symantec Living off the Land) (Citation: CrowdStrike 2015 Global Threat Report)(Citation: CrySyS Blog TeamSpy) Remote access software may be installed and used post-compromise as an alternate communications channel for redundant access or as a way to establish an interactive remote desktop session with the target system. They may also be used as a component of malware to establish a reverse connection or back-connect to a service or adversary controlled system. Adversaries may similarly abuse response features included in EDR and other defensive tools that enable remote access. Installation of many remote access software may also include persistence (e.g., the software's installation routine creates a [Windows Service](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1543/003>)).

**Name**

T1555

**ID**

T1555

**Description**

Adversaries may search for common password storage locations to obtain user credentials. Passwords are stored in several places on a system, depending on the

operating system or application holding the credentials. There are also specific applications and services that store passwords to make them easier for users to manage and maintain, such as password managers and cloud secrets vaults. Once credentials are obtained, they can be used to perform lateral movement and access restricted information.

**Name**

T1562

**ID**

T1562

**Description**

Adversaries may maliciously modify components of a victim environment in order to hinder or disable defensive mechanisms. This not only involves impairing preventative defenses, such as firewalls and anti-virus, but also detection capabilities that defenders can use to audit activity and identify malicious behavior. This may also span both native defenses as well as supplemental capabilities installed by users and administrators. Adversaries may also impair routine operations that contribute to defensive hygiene, such as blocking users from logging out of a computer or stopping it from being shut down. These restrictions can further enable malicious operations as well as the continued propagation of incidents.(Citation: Emotet shutdown) Adversaries could also target event aggregation and analysis mechanisms, or otherwise disrupt these procedures by altering other system components.

**Name**

T1140

**ID**

T1140

**Description**

Adversaries may use [Obfuscated Files or Information](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1027>) to hide artifacts of an intrusion from analysis. They may require separate mechanisms to decode or deobfuscate that information depending on how they intend to use it. Methods for doing that include built-in functionality of malware or by using utilities present on the system. One such example is the use of [certutil](<https://attack.mitre.org/software/S0160>) to decode a remote access tool portable executable file that has been hidden inside a certificate file. (Citation: Malwarebytes Targeted Attack against Saudi Arabia) Another example is using the Windows `copy /b`` command to reassemble binary fragments into a malicious payload. (Citation: Carbon Black Obfuscation Sept 2016) Sometimes a user's action may be required to open it for deobfuscation or decryption as part of [User Execution](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1204>). The user may also be required to input a password to open a password protected compressed/encrypted file that was provided by the adversary. (Citation: Volexity PowerDuke November 2016)

**Name**

T1082

**ID**

T1082

**Description**

An adversary may attempt to get detailed information about the operating system and hardware, including version, patches, hotfixes, service packs, and architecture. Adversaries may use the information from [System Information Discovery](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1082>) during automated discovery to shape follow-on behaviors, including whether or not the adversary fully infects the target and/or attempts specific actions. Tools such as [Systeminfo](<https://attack.mitre.org/software/S0096>) can be used to gather detailed system information. If running with privileged access, a breakdown of system data can be gathered through the `systemsetup`` configuration tool on macOS. As an example, adversaries with user-level access can execute the `df -aH`` command to obtain currently mounted disks and associated freely available space. Adversaries may also leverage a [Network Device CLI](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1059/008>) on network devices to gather detailed system information (e.g. `show version``). (Citation: US-CERT-TA18-106A) [System Information Discovery](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1082>) combined with information gathered from other forms of discovery and reconnaissance can drive payload development and concealment. (Citation: OSX.FairyTale)(Citation: 20 macOS Common Tools

and Techniques) Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS) cloud providers such as AWS, GCP, and Azure allow access to instance and virtual machine information via APIs. Successful authenticated API calls can return data such as the operating system platform and status of a particular instance or the model view of a virtual machine.(Citation: Amazon Describe Instance)(Citation: Google Instances Resource)(Citation: Microsoft Virtual Machine API)

**Name**

T1071

**ID**

T1071

**Description**

Adversaries may communicate using OSI application layer protocols to avoid detection/network filtering by blending in with existing traffic. Commands to the remote system, and often the results of those commands, will be embedded within the protocol traffic between the client and server. Adversaries may utilize many different protocols, including those used for web browsing, transferring files, electronic mail, or DNS. For connections that occur internally within an enclave (such as those between a proxy or pivot node and other nodes), commonly used protocols are SMB, SSH, or RDP.

**Name**

T1547

**ID**

T1547

**Description**

Adversaries may configure system settings to automatically execute a program during system boot or logon to maintain persistence or gain higher-level privileges on compromised systems. Operating systems may have mechanisms for automatically running a program on system boot or account logon.(Citation: Microsoft Run Key)(Citation:

MSDN Authentication Packages)(Citation: Microsoft TimeProvider)(Citation: Cylance Reg Persistence Sept 2013)(Citation: Linux Kernel Programming) These mechanisms may include automatically executing programs that are placed in specially designated directories or are referenced by repositories that store configuration information, such as the Windows Registry. An adversary may achieve the same goal by modifying or extending features of the kernel. Since some boot or logon autostart programs run with higher privileges, an adversary may leverage these to elevate privileges.

**Name**

T1133

**ID**

T1133

**Description**

Adversaries may leverage external-facing remote services to initially access and/or persist within a network. Remote services such as VPNs, Citrix, and other access mechanisms allow users to connect to internal enterprise network resources from external locations. There are often remote service gateways that manage connections and credential authentication for these services. Services such as [Windows Remote Management] (<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1021/006>) and [VNC](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1021/005>) can also be used externally.(Citation: MacOS VNC software for Remote Desktop) Access to [Valid Accounts](<https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1078>) to use the service is often a requirement, which could be obtained through credential pharming or by obtaining the credentials from users after compromising the enterprise network.(Citation: Volexity Virtual Private Keylogging) Access to remote services may be used as a redundant or persistent access mechanism during an operation. Access may also be gained through an exposed service that doesn't require authentication. In containerized environments, this may include an exposed Docker API, Kubernetes API server, kubelet, or web application such as the Kubernetes dashboard.(Citation: Trend Micro Exposed Docker Server)(Citation: Unit 42 Hildegard Malware)

**Name**

T1003

**ID**

T1003

**Description**

Adversaries may attempt to dump credentials to obtain account login and credential material, normally in the form of a hash or a clear text password, from the operating system and software. Credentials can then be used to perform [Lateral Movement](<https://attack.mitre.org/tactics/TA0008>) and access restricted information. Several of the tools mentioned in associated sub-techniques may be used by both adversaries and professional security testers. Additional custom tools likely exist as well.

# Sector

## Name

Health

## Description

Public and private entities involved in research, services and manufacturing activities related to public health.

## Name

Government and administrations

## Description

Civilian government institutions and administrations of the executive and legislative branches. The diplomatic and judicial branches are not included.

## Name

Education

## Description

Public or private entities operating to facilitate learning and acquiring knowledge and skills, composed of infrastructures and services to host teachers, students, and administrative services related to this activity. This does not include research activities.



# IPv4-Addr

## Value

147.78.47.224

# StixFile

## Value

c0539fd02ca0184925a932a9e926c681dc9c81b5de4624250f2dd885ca5c4763

9215550ce3b164972413a329ab697012e909d543e8ac05d9901095016dd3fc6c

482754d66d01aa3579f007c2b3c3d0591865eb60ba60b9c28c66fe6f4ac53c52

0000599cbc6e5b0633c5a6261c79e4d3d81005c77845c6b0679d854884a8e02f

f1425cff3d28afe5245459afa6d7985081bc6a62f86dce64c63daeb2136d7d2c

7451be9b65b956ee667081e1141531514b1ec348e7081b5a9cd1308a98eec8f0

32a674b59c3f9a45efde48368b4de7e0e76c19e06b2f18afb6638d1a080b2eb3

518544e56e8ccee401ffa1b0a01a10ce23e49ec21ec441c6c7c3951b01c1b19c

f3be35f8b8301e39dd3dff9325553516a085c12dc15494a5e2fce73c77069ed

58626a9bfb48cd30acd0d95debcaefd188ae794e1e0072c5bde8adae9bccafa6

fc4b14250db7f66107820ecc56026e6be3e8e0eb2d428719156cf1c53ae139c6

2704e269fb5cf9a02070a0ea07d82dc9d87f2cb95e60cb71d6c6d38b01869f66

a91491f45b851a07f91ba5a200967921bf796d38677786de51a4a8fe5ddeafd2

# External References

- 
- <https://www.cisa.gov/news-events/cybersecurity-advisories/aa24-060a>
- 
- <https://otx.alienvault.com/pulse/65e0d3a77dd78ec09308cad7>